Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Abdiaziz A. Farah and William J. Pietro*

Department of Chemistry, York University, 4700 Keele St., Toronto, Ontario, Canada M3J 1P3

Correspondence e-mail: pietro@yorku.ca

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 100 KMean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.003 \text{ Å}$ R factor = 0.041 wR factor = 0.107 Data-to-parameter ratio = 8.5

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Methyl 2-(2-pyridyl)quinoline-4-carboxylate

The synthesis and structure of the title compound, $C_{16}H_{12}N_2O_2$, are described. The observed conformation in the solid state is similar to that determined previously by ¹H NMR and two-dimensional COSY NMR analysis.

Received 12 June 2001 Accepted 25 June 2001 Online 6 July 2001

Comment

The photophysical properties and the excited-state chemistry of tris(bipyridyl)ruthenium(II) complexes have been investigated to a great extent because of their unique and interesting features such as chemical stability, reversible redox property, long excited-state life time and chelation properties (Juris et al., 1988). 2,2'-Bipyridine is the prototype ligand of almost all reported Ru^{II} tris-chelate complexes (Kaes et al., 2000). Synthetically, it lacks a suitable peripheral chemical functionality that allows it to be bound into a diverse array of substrates. To address this dilemma, a rigorous synthetic research effort towards the structural variation of the commonly used ligands, such as bipyridines, phenanthrolines and terpyridines, must be conducted in order to develop such analogues, where differences in electronic and steric properties may lead to modification of the resulting metal complexes. We have synthesized and characterized a novel diimine ligand, methyl 2-(2-pyridyl)quinoline-4-carboxylate, (I), and covalently bound it into a polymeric matrix (Farah et al., 2000) and on to CdS nanoparticle (Veinot et al., 2000). We report here the synthesis and crystal structure of (I).



The *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976) diagram of (I) (Fig. 1) reveals the expected conformation of the ligand in the solid state as the two N atoms are in the *trans* configuration to minimize electronic repulsion. The packing (Fig. 2) also precludes interaction between adjacent molecules and reveals an alternating flat/tilt array typical of molecular crystals (Gray & Goodby, 1984). All observed C-C, C-N and C-O bond lengths are in good agreement with those reported in the literature and do not merit any further discussion (*International Tables for Crystallography*, 1995, Vol. C).

 \odot 2001 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved





ORTEPII drawing with atomic numbering of the title compound. Displacement ellipsoids are plotted with 50% probability. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.



Figure 2 Packing diagram of the title compound viewed along the b axis.

Experimental

4.00 g (16 mmol) of 2-(2-pyridyl)-4-carboxyquinolic acid were dissolved in 70 ml of freshly distilled benzene. 4.10 g (128 mmol) of methanol and 5 ml of H₂SO₄ were added successively and the reaction mixture was refluxed overnight. The reaction product was then poured into 150 ml of water and extracted with $(3 \times 30 \text{ ml})$ of ether. The ethereal layer was washed with 5% NaHCO₃, then with water and was dried over MgSO4. After removal of the solvent the resultant product was recrystallized from ethanol; yield: 3.47 g (81%).

Crystal data

C М

Μ

а

b c β

V

Ζ

$_{16}H_{12}N_2O_2$	$D_x = 1.420 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
r = 264.28	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
onoclinic, C2	Cell parameters from 9
= 29.332 (3) Å	reflections
= 3.8551 (2) Å	$\theta = 2.6-27.5^{\circ}$
= 11.0753 (11) Å	$\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
= 99.341 (3)°	T = 100 (2) K
$= 1235.76 (19) \text{ Å}^3$	Needle, light gold
= 4	$0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$

9674

1352 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.024$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.4^{\circ}$

 $h = 0 \rightarrow 37$

 $k = 0 \rightarrow 4$

 $l = -14 \rightarrow 14$

Intensity decay: none

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer φ scans, and ω scans with κ offsets Absorption correction: multi-scan (DENZO-SMN; Otwinowski & Minor, 1997) $T_{\min} = 0.981, \ T_{\max} = 0.995$ 9674 measured reflections 1538 independent reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0647P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.041$	+ 0.8852P]
$vR(F^2) = 0.107$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 0.89	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
538 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.18 \text{ e} \text{ \AA}^{-3}$
81 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.20 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

N1-C2	1.322 (3)	O2-C16	1.335 (3)
N1-C10	1.364 (3)	O2-C1	1.456 (3)
N2-C15	1.338 (3)	C2-C3	1.418 (3)
N2-C11	1.346 (3)	C3-C4	1.369 (3)
O1-C16	1.204 (3)		
C2-N1-C10	118.25 (19)	N1-C2-C3	122.7 (2)
C15-N2-C11	117.3 (2)	N1-C2-C11	117.32 (19)
C16-O2-C1	115.88 (17)	C4-C3-C2	120.2 (2)

Data collection: COLLECT (Nonius, 1997–2001); cell refinement: DENZO-SMN (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: DENZO-SMN; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL/PC (Sheldrick 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL/ PC; molecular graphics: SHELXTL/PC.

Financial support from the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada is acknowledged.

References

Farah, A. A., Veinot, J. G. C., Najman, M. & Pietro, W. J. (2000). J. Macromol. Sci. Pure Appl. Chem. Ser. A, 37, 1507-1529.

Gray, G. W. & Goodby, J. W. (1984). Smectic Liquid Crystals. Glasgow: Leonard Hill.

- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Juris, A., Balzani, V., Barigelletti, F., Campagna, S., Belser, P. & von Zelewsky, A. (1988). Coord. Chem. Rev. 84, 85-277.
- Kaes, C., Katz, A. & Hosseini, M. W. (2000). Chem. Rev. 100, 3553-3590.
- Nonius (1997-2001). COLLECT. Windows 3.11 Version. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods Enzymol. 276, 307-326.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1999). SHELXTL/PC. Version 5.1. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Veinot, J. G. C., Farah, A. A., Galloro, J., Zobi, F., Bell, V. & Pietro, W. J. (2000). Polyhedron, 19, 331-341.